UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/567,571 | 02/08/2006 | Peter Boehland | R305609 | 9294 |
| 2119 RONALD E. G | 7590 06/30/200 REIGG | EXAMINER | | |
| | EIGG P.L.L.C. | KIM, CHRISTOPHER S | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | TAN STREET, UNIT (A, VA 22314 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3752 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/30/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/567,571 | BOEHLAND ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | | |

| | Christopher S. Kim | 3752 | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence addi | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of <i>i</i> eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. .ED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | te extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | ie issues ioi | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (F | ² TOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>10-13 and 20-24</u> we canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | ould be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely filed a | ımendment | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 10-13 and 20-24. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8 and 9. | | be entered and an ex | planation of | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attache | ∌d. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| | /Christopher S. Kim/ | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3752 | | | | |